arXiv:1211.5286v1 [math.RA] 22 Nov 2012

Strongly Nil-$*$-clean Rings

Huanyin Chen[*], Abdullah Harmancı[†], A. Çiğdem Özcan[‡]

Abstract

A $*$-ring R is called a strongly nil-$*$-clean ring if every element of R is the sum of a projection and a nilpotent element that commute with each other. In this article, we show that R is a strongly nil-$*$-clean ring if and only if every idempotent in R is a projection, R is periodic, and $R/J(R)$ is Boolean. For any commutative $*$-ring R, we prove that the algebraic extension $R[i]$ where $i^2 = \mu i + \eta$ for some $\mu, \eta \in R$ is strongly nil-$*$-clean if and only if R is strongly nil-$*$-clean and $\mu\eta$ is nilpotent. The relationships between Boolean $*$-rings and strongly nil-$*$-clean rings are also obtained.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 16W10, 16U99
Key words: strongly nil-$*$-clean ring; algebraic extension; Boolean-$*$-like ring.

1 Introduction

Let R be an associative ring with unity. A ring R is called *strongly nil-clean* if every element of R is the sum of an idempotent and a nilpotent that commute with each other. These rings are first discovered by Hirano-Tominaga-Yakub [8] in the name of [E-N]-representable. Diesl in his thesis [6] called them strongly nil-clean being unaware of [8] and studied some of their properties. The other motivation of studying strongly nil cleanness is derived from Lie algebra. Such decomposition of matrices over a field must be the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition in Lie theory. An *involution* of a ring R is an operation $* : R \to R$ such that $(x+y)^* = x^* + y^*$, $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ and $(x^*)^* = x$ for all $x, y \in R$. A ring R with involution $*$ is called a *$*$-ring*. An element p in a $*$-ring R is called a *projection* if $p^2 = p = p^*$. Recently the concepts of strongly clean rings are considered for any $*$-ring. A $*$-ring R is called *strongly $*$-clean* if each of its elements is the sum of a projection and a unit that commute with each other [11, 12]. For general theory of $*$-rings, we refer the reader to [2].

---

[*] Department of Mathematics, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, 310036, China, e-mail: huanyinchen@yahoo.cn

[†] Hacettepe University, Department of Mathematics, 06800 Beytepe Ankara, Turkey, e-mail: abdullahharmanci@gmail.com

[‡] Corresponding author: Hacettepe University, Department of Mathematics, 06800 Beytepe Ankara, Turkey, e-mail: ozcan@hacettepe.edu.tr

Our motivation is to consider strongly nil cleanness for any $*$-rings, and so a new class of $*$-rings will be introduced. We call a $*$-ring R *strongly nil-$*$-clean* if every element of R is the sum of a projection and a nilpotent element that commute with each other. We observe that the behave of such rings is very different from that of strongly nil clean rings. In Section 2, we prove that R is a strongly nil-$*$-clean ring if and only if every idempotent in R is a projection, R is periodic, and $R/J(R)$ is Boolean. In Section 3, some hypercomplex rings over commutative $*$-rings are considered. For a commutative $*$-ring R, $R[i] = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in R,\ i^2 = \mu i + \eta$ for some $\mu, \eta \in R\}$ is strongly nil-$*$-clean if and only if R is strongly nil-$*$-clean and $\mu\eta$ is nilpotent. Foster [7] introduced the concept of Boolean-like rings as a generalization of Boolean rings in the commutative case. Inspired by this notion we define Boolean-$*$-like rings for the general case and prove that a $*$-ring R is Boolean-$*$-like if and only if R is strongly nil-$*$-clean and $\alpha\beta = 0$ for all nilpotent elements α, β in R. In the last section, we show that the intersection of two maximal ideals of strongly nil-$*$-clean rings is submaximal. The new characterization of a $*$-Boolean ring is then obtained by means of strongly nil-$*$-cleanness.

Throughout this paper all rings are associative with unity (unless otherwise noted). We write $J(R)$, $N(R)$ and $U(R)$ for the Jacobson radical of a ring R, the set of all nilpotent elements in R and the set of all units in R, respectively. The ring of all polynomials over R is denoted by $R[x]$.

2 Structure Theorems

The main purpose of this section is to explore the structure of strongly nil-$*$-clean rings. We begin with

Proposition 2.1 *Let R be a $*$-ring. Then R is strongly nil-$*$-clean if and only if*

(1) *Every idempotent in R is a projection;*

(2) *For any $x \in R$ there exists a unique idempotent $e \in R$ such that $x - e \in N(R)$.*

Proof Suppose R is strongly nil-$*$-clean. We claim that R is strongly $*$-clean. Let $x \in R$, then there exist a projection $e \in R$ and a nilpotent element $w \in R$ such that $2 - x = e + w$ and $ew = we$. This gives that $x = (1 - e) + (1 - w)$ where $1 - e$ is a projection and $1 - w \in U(R)$. Hence R is strongly $*$-clean. Every idempotent in R is a projection from [11, Theorem 2.2]. For any $x \in R$ there exists an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $u := x - e \in R$ is nilpotent. Assume that there exists an idempotent $f \in R$ such that $v := x - f \in R$ is nilpotent. Then $e - f = (x - f) - (x - e) = u - v$. According to [11, Lemma 2.1], every idempotent in R is central (i.e. R is *abelian*), and so $uv = vu$. This implies that $e - f \in N(R)$. As $(e - f)^3 = e - f$, we deduce that $e = f$. Therefore the preceding e is unique. The converse is obvious. $\square$

Corollary 2.2 *Let R be a $*$-ring. Then R is strongly nil-$*$-clean if and only if for any $x \in R$ there exists a unique projection $e \in R$ such that $x - e \in R$ is nilpotent and $ex = xe$.*

We note that the condition "every idempotent in R is a projection" in Proposition 2.1 is necessary as the following shows.

Example 2.3 Let $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ where $0, 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Define $* : R \to R$, $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a+b & b \\ a+b+c+d & b+d \end{pmatrix}$. Then R is a commutative $*$-ring with the usual matrix addition and multiplication. In fact, R is Boolean. Thus, for any $x \in R$, there exists a unique idempotent $e \in R$ such that $x - e \in R$ is nilpotent. But it is not strongly nil-$*$-clean.

Theorem 2.4 *Let R be a $*$-ring. Then R is strongly nil-$*$-clean if and only if*

(1) *Every idempotent in R is a projection;*

(2) *$N(R)$ forms an ideal;*

(3) *$R/N(R)$ is Boolean.*

Proof Assume that R is strongly nil-$*$-clean. In view of Proposition 2.1, every idempotent in R is a projection. Thus, every idempotent in R is central by [11, Lemma 2.1]. For any $x \in R$, there exist an idempotent $g \in R$ and a nilpotent element $v \in R$ such that $x = g+v$ and $gv = vg$. Thus, $x^2 = g+(2g+v)v$, and so $x-x^2 = (1-2g-v)v \in R$ is nilpotent. Write $(x-x^2)^m = 0$, and so $x^m \in x^{m+1}R$. This shows that R is strongly π-regular. According to [1, Theorem 3], $N(R)$ forms an ideal of R. Further, $x - x^2 \in N(R)$, and so $R/N(R)$ is Boolean.

Conversely, assume that $(1), (2)$ and (3) hold. For any $x \in R$, we see that $\overline{x} = \overline{x^2}$. As $N(R)$ is nil, every idempotent lifts modulo $N(R)$. Thus, we can find an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $x - e \in N(R)$. Assume that there exists a projection $f \in R$ such that $x - f \in N(R)$. Then $e - f = (x - f) - (x - e) \in N(R)$. As every idempotent in R is a projection, it follows that every idempotent in R is central. Thus, $(e-f)^3 = e-f$, and so $e = f$. We conclude therefore that R is strongly nil-$*$-clean by Proposition 2.1. $\square$

Corollary 2.5 *Let R be a $*$-ring. Then R is strongly nil-$*$-clean if and only if*

(1) *Every idempotent in R is a projection;*

(2) *For any $a \in R$, $a - a^2 \in N(R)$.*

Proof One direction is obvious from Theorem 2.4. Conversely, assume that (1) and (2) hold. Since every idempotent in R is a projection, every idempotent in R is central. In view of [8, Theorem 3], $N(R)$ is an ideal. Let $a \in R$. As $a - a^2 \in N(R)$, write $a^n(1-a)^n = 0$. Let $f(a) = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{n} \left(\begin{array}{c} 2n \\ i \end{array} \right) a^{2n-i}(1-a)^i$. Then $f(a) \equiv 0 \, (mod\, a^n)$. It follows from

$$f(a) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} \left(\begin{array}{c} 2n \\ i \end{array} \right) a^{2n-i}(1-a)^i = \big(a + (1-a)\big)^n = 1$$

that $f(a) \equiv 1 \, \big(mod\, (1-a)^n\big)$. Thus, $f(a)\big(1-f(a)\big) \equiv 0 \, \big(mod\, a^n(1-a)^n\big)$. Let $e = f(a)$. Then $e(1-e) = 0$, and so $e \in R$ is an idempotent. Clearly, $a - e \equiv a - a^{2n} = (a-a^2) + (a^2 - a^4) + \cdots + (a^{2n-2} - a^{2n}) \equiv 0\big(mod\ N(R)\big)$. Thus, $a - e \in N(R)$. If there exists an idempotent $f \in R$ such that $a - f \in N(R)$. Then $e - f = (a-f) - (a-e) \in N(R)$. This implies that $e = f$. In light of Proposition 2.1, R is strongly nil-$*$-clean. $\square$

Corollary 2.6 *Let R be a $*$-ring. Then R is strongly nil-$*$-clean if and only if for any $x \in R$, there exists a unique projection $e \in R$ such that $R(x-e)R$ is nil.*

Proof Suppose that R is strongly nil-$*$-clean. For any $x \in R$, there exists a projection $e \in R$ such that $x - e \in N(R)$. In view of Theorem 2.4, $N(R)$ is an ideal of R. Thus, $R(x-e)R$ is nil. Assume that there exists a projection $f \in R$ such that $R(x-f)R$ is nil. By virtue of [11, Lemma 2.1], R is abelian. Hence $e - f = (x-f) - (x-e) \in J(R)$. As $(e-f)^3 = e - f$, we see that $e = f$, and so the uniqueness is obtained.

Conversely, for any $x \in R$, there exists a unique projection $e \in R$ such that $R(x-e)R$ is nil; hence, $x - e \in J(R)$. If $a \in J(R)$, then we can find a projection $f \in R$ such that $a - f \in N(R)$. This yields that $f \in J(R)$, and then $f = 0$. This implies that $J(R)$ is nil. If there exists a projection $g \in R$ such that $x - g \in J(R)$, then $R(x-g)R \subseteq J(R)$. Hence, $R(x-g)R$ is nil. By hypothesis, $e = g$. According to [5, Theorem 3.3], R is strongly J-$*$-clean, and so R is abelian. Therefore R is strongly nil-$*$-clean. $\square$

A ring R is called a *strongly J-$*$-clean ring* if for any $x \in R$ there exists a unique projection $e \in R$ such that $x - e \in J(R)$ [5].

Lemma 2.7 *Let R be a $*$-ring. Then R is strongly nil-$*$-clean if and only if*

(1) *R is strongly J-$*$-clean;*

(2) *$J(R)$ is nil.*

Proof Suppose that R is strongly nil-$*$-clean. In view of Theorem 2.4, $N(R)$ forms an ideal of R, and this gives that $N(R) \subseteq J(R)$. On the other hand, for any $x \in J(R)$, there exists a projection $e \in R$ such that $x - e \in N(R)$. Then $e = x - (x - e) \in J(R)$. This shows that $e = 0$, and so x is nilpotent. That is $J(R)$ is nil, and so $N(R) = J(R)$. In view of Proposition 2.1, we can see that there exists a unique projection $e \in R$ such that $x - e \in J(R)$. Hence R is strongly J-$*$-clean by [5, Theorem 3.3].

Conversely, assume that (1) and (2) hold. In view of [5, Proposition 2.1], R is strongly $*$-clean. Thus, every idempotent in R is central. Let $x \in R$. By virtue of [5, Theorem 3.3], there exist a projection $e \in R$ and a $w \in J(R)$ such that $x = e + w$ and $xe = ex$. As $J(R)$ is nil, $w \in R$ is nilpotent. Therefore R is strongly nil-$*$-clean. $\square$

We therefore observe that $\{$strongly nil $*$-clean rings$\} \subsetneqq \{$strongly J-$*$-clean rings$\} \subsetneqq \{$strongly $*$-clean rings$\}$. But note that a strongly nil clean ring R may be not strongly J-clean (see [3]).

Lemma 2.8 *Let R be a $*$-ring. Then R is strongly nil-$*$-clean if and only if*

(1) *Every idempotent in R is a projection;*

(2) *$J(R)$ is nil;*

(3) *$R/J(R)$ is Boolean.*

Proof Suppose that R is strongly nil-$*$-clean. In view of Theorems 2.4 and Lemma 2.7, every idempotent in R is a projection and $J(R)$ is nil. By the proof of Lemma 2.7, $J(R) = N(R)$. According to Theorem 2.4, $R/J(R)$ is Boolean.

Conversely, assume that $(1), (2)$ and (3) hold. For any $x \in R$, $x + J(R) = x^2 + J(R)$. As $J(R)$ is nil, every idempotent in R lifts modulo $J(R)$. Thus, we can find an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $x - e \in J(R) \subseteq N(R)$. Since every idempotent in R is a projection, every idempotent in R is central. Thus, $xe = ex$, the result follows. $\square$

Recall that a ring R is *periodic* if for any $x \in R$, there exist distinct $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^m = x^n$. With this information we can now prove the following.

Theorem 2.9 *Let R be a $*$-ring. Then R is strongly nil-$*$-clean if and only if*

(1) *Every idempotent in R is a projection;*

(2) *R is periodic;*

(3) *$R/J(R)$ is Boolean.*

Proof Suppose that R is strongly nil-$*$-clean. By virtue of Lemma 2.8, every idempotent in R is a projection and $R/J(R)$ is Boolean. For any $x \in R$, $x - x^2 \in N(R)$. Write $(x - x^2)^m = 0$, and so $x^m = x^{m+1}f(x)$, where $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. According to Herstein's Theorem, R is periodic. Conversely, $J(R)$ is nil as R is periodic. Therefore the proof is completed by Lemma 2.8. $\square$

Proposition 2.10 *A $*$-ring R is strongly nil-$*$-clean if and only if*

(1) *R is strongly $*$-clean;*

(2) *$N(R) = \{x \in R \mid 1 - x \in U(R)\}$.*

Proof Suppose that R is strongly nil-$*$-clean. By the proof of Lemma 2.7, $N(R) = J(R)$. Since R is strongly J-$*$-clean, we conclude that $N(R) = \{x \in R \mid 1-x \in U(R)\}$ by [5, Theorem 3.5].

Conversely, assume that (1) and (2) hold. Let $a \in R$. Then we can find a projection $e \in R$ such that $(a-1)-e \in U(R)$ and $e(a-1) = (a-1)e$. That is, $(1-a)+e \in U(R)$. As $1-(a-e) \in U(R)$, by hypothesis, $a-e \in N(R)$. In addition, $ea = ae$. Accordingly, R is strongly nil-$*$-clean. □

Let R be a $*$-ring. Define $* : R[x]/(x^n) \to R[x]/(x^n)$ given by $a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} \mapsto a_0^* + a_1^*x + \cdots + a_{n-1}^*x^{n-1}$.

Corollary 2.11 *Let R be a $*$-ring. Then R is strongly nil-$*$-clean if and only if so is $R[x]/(x^n)$ $(n \geq 1)$.*

Proof One direction is obvious. Conversely, assume that R is strongly nil-$*$-clean. Clearly, $N\big(R[x]/(x^n)\big) = \{a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} \mid a_0 \in N(R), a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1} \in R\}$. In view of Theorem 2.10, $N(R) = \{x \in R \mid 1-x \in U(R)\}$. Thus, $N\big(R[x]/(x^n)\big) = \{a_0+a_1x+\cdots+a_{n-1}x^{n-1} \mid 1-a_0 \in U(R), a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1} \in R\}$, and the proof is complete by definitions. □

3 Algebraic Extensions

Let $R[i] = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in R,\ i^2 = \mu i + \eta \text{ for some } \mu, \eta \in R\}$. Let R be a $*$-ring. Then $R[i]$ is a $*$-ring, where the involution $* : R[i] \to R[i]$, $a + bi \mapsto a^* + b^*i$. The aim of this section is to explore the algebraic extensions of a strongly nil-$*$-clean ring.

Theorem 3.1 *Let R be a commutative $*$-ring. Then $R[i]$ is strongly nil-$*$-clean if and only if*

(1) *R is strongly nil-$*$-clean;*

(2) *$\mu\eta$ is nilpotent.*

Proof Suppose that $R[i]$ is strongly nil-$*$-clean. Then every idempotent in R is a projection. Since R is commutative, $N(R)$ forms an ideal. For any $a \in R$, we see that $a - a^2 \in N\big(R[i]\big)$, and so $a - a^2 \in N(R)$. This implies that $\overline{a} = \overline{a^2}$. Thus, $R/N(R)$

is Boolean. Therefore R is strongly nil-$*$-clean by Theorem 2.4. As $R[i]/N\big(R[i]\big)$ is Boolean, $i-i^2\in N\big(R[i]\big)$. This shows that $\eta+(\mu-1)i\in N\big(R[i]\big)$, and so $\mu\eta+(\mu^2-\mu)i\in N\big(R[i]\big)$. As $\mu^2-\mu\in N(R)$, we see that $\mu\eta\in N\big(R[i]\big)$. Thus, $\mu\eta$ is nilpotent.

Conversely, assume that (1), (2) hold. As R is commutative, $N\big(R[i]\big)$ forms an ideal of $R[i]$. Let $a+bi\in R[i]$ be an idempotent. Then we can find projections $e,f\in R$ and nilpotent elements $u,v\in R$ such that $a=e+u$, $b=f+w$. Then $a-a^*, b-b^*\in N(R)$. This shows that $(a+bi)-(a+bi)^*=(a-a^*)+(b-b^*)i\in N\big(R[i]\big)$. Clearly, $\big((a+bi)-(a+bi)^*\big)^3=(a+bi)-(a+bi)^*$. Therefore $(a+bi)-(a+bi)^*=0$. That is, $a+bi\in R[i]$ is a projection.

Since R is strongly nil $*$-clean, it follows from Theorem 2.4 that $2-2^2\in N(R)$, and so $2\in N(R)$. For any $a+bi\in R[i]$, it is easy to verify that

$$\begin{array}{lll}(a+bi)-(a+bi)^2 & = & (a-a^2)-2abi+bi-b^2i^2\\ & \equiv & -b^2\eta+(b-b^2\mu)i\\ & \equiv & -b\eta+b(1-\mu)i\ \big(mod\ N\big(R[i]\big)\big).\end{array}$$

This shows that

$$\begin{array}{lll}\big((a+bi)-(a+bi)^2\big)^2 & \equiv & b^2\eta^2-2b^2\eta(1-\mu)i+b^2(1-\mu)^2i^2\\ & \equiv & b\eta^2+b(1-\mu)^2(\mu i+\eta)\\ & \equiv & b\eta+b(1-\mu)(\mu i+\eta)\\ & \equiv & 2b\eta-b\mu\eta+b(\mu-\mu^2)i\\ & \equiv & -b\mu\eta\\ & \equiv & 0\ \big(mod\ N\big(R[i]\big)\big).\end{array}$$

This implies that $\overline{(a+bi)}=\overline{(a+bi)^2}$. That is, $R[i]/N\big(R[i]\big)$ is Boolean. According to Theorem 2.4, we complete the proof. $\square$

As an immediate consequence, we deduce that a commutative $*$-ring R is strongly nil-$*$-clean if and only if so is $R[i]$ where $i^2=-1$.

Example 3.2 The ring $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]/(x^2-x+2)$ is strongly nil-$*$-clean, where $*$ is the identity involution. For, clearly $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]/(x^2-x+2)\cong\mathbb{Z}_4[i]$, where $i^2=i+2$. As $2\in N(\mathbb{Z}_4)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_4$ is strongly nil $*$-clean, where $*$ is the identity. So we are done by Theorem 3.1.

We now consider a special strongly nil-$*$-clean ring which is of bounded index 2.

Definition 3.3 A $*$-ring R is said to be *Boolean-$*$-like* provided that every idempotent in R is a projection and $(a-a^2)(b-b^2)=0$ for all $a,b\in R$.

Example 3.4 Let $R=\{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a,b,c\in \mathbb{Z}_2\}$. Define $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & a \end{pmatrix}+\begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & a' \end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix} a+a' & b+b' \\ c+c' & a+a' \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & a \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & a' \end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix} aa' & ab'+ba' \\ ca'+ac' & aa' \end{pmatrix}$ and $*: R\to R$, $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & a \end{pmatrix}\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$. Then R is a $*$-ring. Let $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & a \end{pmatrix}\in R$ be an idempotent. Then $a=a^2$ and $(2a-1)b=(2a-1)c=0$. As $(2a-1)^2=1$, we see that $b=c=0$, and so the set of all idempotents in R is $\{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\}$. Thus, every idempotent in R is a projection. For any $a,b\in R$, we see that $(a-a^2)(b-b^2)=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & * \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & * \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix}=0$. Therefore R is Boolean-$*$-like.

Theorem 3.5 *Let R be a $*$-ring. Then R is Boolean-$*$-like if and only if*

(1) *R is strongly nil-$*$-clean;*

(2) *$\alpha\beta=0$ for all nilpotent $\alpha,\beta\in R$.*

Proof Suppose that R is Boolean-$*$-like. Then every idempotent in R is a projection; hence, R is abelian. For any $a\in R$, $(a-a^2)^2=0$, and so $a^2=a^3f(a)$ for some $f(t)\in\mathbb{Z}[t]$. This implies that R is strongly π-regular, and so it is π-regular. It follows from [1, Theorem 3] that $N(R)$ forms an ideal. Further, $a-a^2\in N(R)$, i.e., $\overline{a}=\overline{a^2}$. Therefore $R/N(R)$ is Boolean. According to Theorem 2.4, R is strongly nil-$*$-clean. For any nilpotent elements $\alpha,\beta\in R$, we can find some $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha^m=\beta^n=0$. Thus, $\alpha^2=\alpha^3g(\alpha)$ for some $g(t)\in\mathbb{Z}[t]$, and so $\alpha^2=0$. Likewise, $\beta^2=0$. This shows that $\alpha\beta=(\alpha-\alpha^2)(\beta-\beta^2)=0$.

Conversely, assume that (1) and (2) hold. By Theorem 2.4, every idempotent is a projection, and for any $a\in R$, $a-a^2$ is nilpotent. Hence for any $a,b\in R$, $(a-a^2)(b-b^2)=0$. Therefore R is Boolean $*$-like. □

Corollary 3.6 *Let R be a $*$-ring. If $\mu\in U(R)$, then $R[i]$ is Boolean-$*$-like if and only if*

(1) *R is Boolean-$*$-like;*

(2) *$\mu\eta$ is nilpotent.*

Proof If $R[i]$ is Boolean-$*$-like, then R is Boolean-$*$-like. In view of Theorem 3.5, R is strongly nil-$*$-clean and the product of two nilpotent elements is zero. In addition, $(2-2^2)^2=0$, and so $2\in N(R)$. Thus, $\zeta^{(2)}:=2\zeta+\zeta^2=0$ for all nilpotent $\zeta\in R$.

In view of Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.5, each $a \in R$ can be written uniquely in the form $a = e + w$ where $e \in R$ is an idempotent and $w \in R$ is nilpotent. In light of [10, Theorem 1], R is commutative. This shows that $\mu\eta \in R$ is nilpotent by Theorems 3.1 and 3.5.

Conversely, assume that (1) and (2) hold. As in the preceding discussion, R is commutative. Hence, $R[i]$ is strongly nil-$*$-clean by Theorem 3.1. In addition, $2 \in N(R)$. Let $a + bi \in R[i]$ be nilpotent. As $2 \in N(R)$, we can find some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(a+bi)^{2^n} = a^{2^n} + b^{2^n} i^{2^n} = 0$. We claim that $i^{2^n} = ci + d$ for some $c \in U(R), d \in N(R)$. This is true for $n = 1$ by hypothesis. Assume that this holds for $n = k (k \geq 1)$. Write $i^{2^k} = \alpha i + \beta$ with $\alpha \in U(R), \beta \in N(R)$. Now assume that $n = k + 1$. Then $i^{2^{(k+1)}} = i^{2^k}(\mu i + \eta) = (\alpha\mu^2 + \alpha\eta + \beta\mu)i + (\alpha\mu\eta + \beta\eta)$ with $\alpha\mu^2 + \alpha\eta + \beta\mu \in U(R), \alpha\mu\eta + \beta\eta \in N(R)$. Therefore $(a + bi)^{2^n} = a^{2^n} + b^{2^n} i^{2^n} = a^{2^n} + b^{2^n}(ci + d) = (a^{2^n} + b^{2^n} d) + b^{2^n} ci$. This implies that $a^{2^n} + b^{2^n} d = 0 = b^{2^n} c$. As $c \in U(R)$, we get $b^{2^n} = a^{2^n} = 0$. That is, $a, b \in R$ are nilpotent. Let $\alpha + \beta i \in R[i]$ is nilpotent. Similarly, $\alpha, \beta \in R$ are nilpotent. Thus, $(a + bi)(\alpha + \beta i) = (a\alpha - b\beta) + (a\beta + b\beta)i = 0$. By using Theorem 3.5, $R[i]$ is Boolean-$*$-like. □

Example 3.7 The ring H_4 is defined by the following tables (cf. [7]):

+	0	1	p	q
0	0	1	p	q
1	1	0	q	p
p	p	q	0	1
q	q	p	1	0

,

×	0	1	p	q
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	p	q
p	0	p	0	p
q	0	q	p	1

.

Then H_4 is a Boolean-$*$-like ring with the identity involutions $*$, $char(H_4) = 2$, and that $q \in U(H_4), p \in N(H_4)$. Clearly, $H_4[x]/(qx + p) \cong H_4[i]$, where $i^2 = qi + p$. Therefore $H_4[x]/(qx + p)$ is Boolean-$*$-like ring by Corollary 3.6.

Example 3.8 Let R be the ring

$$\{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\},$$

where $0, 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Define $* : R \to R, A \mapsto A^T$, transpose of A. Then R is a $*$-ring in which $(a - a^2)(b - b^2) = 0$ for all $a, b \in R$. Further, $\alpha\beta = 0$ for all nilpotent $\alpha, \beta \in R$. But R is not Boolean-$*$-like.

We note that strongly nil clean ring maybe not strongly nil $*$-clean. Consider the ring

$$R = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 2b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_4 \}.$$

Then for any $x, y \in R$, $(x - x^2)(y - y^2) = 0$. Obviously, R is not commutative. This implies that R is not a Boolean-$*$-like ring for any involution $*$. Accordingly, R is not strongly nil-$*$-clean for any involution $*$; otherwise, every idempotent in R is a projection, a contradiction. In this case, R is strongly nil clean.

4 Submaximal Ideals

An ideal I of a ring R is called a *submaximal ideal* if I is covered a maximal ideal of R. That is, there exists a maximal ideal J of R such that $I \subsetneqq J \subsetneqq R$ and for any ideal K of R such that $I \subseteq K \subseteq J$ then we have $I = K$ or $K = J$. This concept was firstly introduced to study commutative Boolean-like rings with characteristic 2(cf. [9]). A $*$-ring R is a *$*$-Boolean ring* provided that R is a Boolean ring with the identity involution, in other words, if every element of R is a projection. The purpose of this section is to consider submaximal ideals and characterize Boolean-$*$-ring be means of strongly nil-$*$-cleanness.

Lemma 4.1 *Let R be strongly nil-$*$-clean. Then an ideal M of R is maximal if and only if*

(1) *M is prime;*

(2) *For any $a \in M, n \geq 1$, $a^n \in M$ implies that $a \in M$.*

Proof Suppose that M is maximal. Obviously, M is prime. Let $a \in M$ and $a^n \in M$. If $a \notin M$, $RaR + M = R$. Thus, $\overline{R}\overline{a}\overline{R} = \overline{R}$. Clearly, R is an abelian clean ring, and so it is an exchange ring by [3, Theorem 17.2.2]. This implies that R/M is an abelian exchange ring. As in the proof of [3, Proposition 17.1.9], there exists an idempotent $\overline{e} \in R/M$ such that $\overline{R}\overline{e}\overline{R} = \overline{R}$ and $\overline{e} \in \overline{a}\overline{R}$. Thus, $\overline{e} = \overline{1}$. Hence, $1 - ar \in M$ for some $r \in R$. This implies that $a^{n-1} - a^n r \in M$, and so $a^{n-1} \in M$. By iteration of this process, we see that $a \in M$, as required.

Conversely, assume that (1) and (2) hold. Assume that M is not maximal. Then we can find a maximal ideal I of R such that $M \subsetneqq I \subsetneqq R$. Choose $a \in I$ while $a \notin M$. By hypothesis, there exist an idempotent $e \in R$ and a nilpotent $u \in R$ such that $a = e + u$. Write $u^m = 0$. Then $u^m \in M$. By hypothesis, $u \in M$. This shows that $e \notin M$. Clearly, R is abelian. Thus $eR(1 - e) \subseteq M$. As M is prime, we deduce that $1 - e \in M$. As a result, $1 - a = (1 - e) - u \in M$, and so $1 = (1 - a) + a \in I$. This gives a contradiction. Therefore M is maximal. $\square$

Let R be a strongly nil-$*$-clean ring, and let $x \in R$. Then there exists a unique projection $e \in R$ such that $x - e \in N(R)$. We denote e by x_B and $x - e$ by x_N.

Lemma 4.2 *Let I be an ideal of a strongly nil-$*$-clean ring R, and let $x \in R$ be such that $x \notin I$. If $x_B \notin I$, then there exists a maximal ideal J of R such that $I \subseteq J$ and $x \notin J$.*

Proof Let $\Omega = \{K \mid I \subseteq K, x_B \notin K\}$. Then $\Omega \neq \emptyset$. Given $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \cdots$ in Ω, we set $Q = \bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i$. Then Q is an ideal of R. If $Q \notin \Omega$, then $x_B \in Q$, and so $x_B \in K_i$ for some i. This gives a contradiction. Thus, Ω is inductive. By using Zorn's Lemma, there exists an ideal J of R which is maximal in Ω. Let $a, b \in R$ such that $a, b \notin J$. By the maximality of J, we see that $RaR + J, RbR + J \notin \Omega$. This shows that $x_B \in \big(RaR + J\big) \bigcap \big(RbR + J\big)$. This implies that $x_B = x_B^2 \in RaRbR + J$. This shows that $aRb \notin J$; otherwise, $x_B \in J$, a contradiction. Hence, J is prime. Assume that J is not maximal. Then we can find a maximal ideal M of R such that $J \subsetneqq M \subsetneqq R$. Clearly, R is abelian. By the maximality, we see that $x_B \in M$, and so $1 - x_B \notin M$. This implies that $1 - x_B \notin J$. As $x_B R(1 - x_B) = 0 \subseteq J$, we have that $x_B \in J$, a contradiction. Therefore J is a maximal ideal, as asserted. $\Box$

Theorem 4.3 *Let R be strongly nil-$*$-clean. Then the intersection of two maximal ideals is submaximal and it is covered by each of these two maximal ideals. Further, there is no other maximal ideals containing it.*

Proof Let I_1 and I_2 be two distinct maximal ideals of R. Then $I_1 \bigcap I_2 \subsetneqq I_1$. Suppose $I_1 \bigcap I_2 \subseteq J \subsetneqq I_1$. Then we can find some $x \in I_1$ while $x \notin J$. Write $x_N^n = 0$. Then $x_N^n \in I_1$. In light of Lemma 4.1, $x_N \in I_1$. Likewise, $x_N \in I_2$. Thus, $x_N \in I_1 \bigcap I_2 \subseteq J$. This shows that $x_B \notin J$. By virtue of Lemma 4.2, there exists a maximal ideal M of R such that $J \subseteq M$ and $x \notin M$. Hence, $I_1 \bigcap I_2 \subseteq M$ and $I_1 \neq M$. If $I_2 \neq M$, then $I_2 + M = R$. Write $t + y = 1$ with $t \in I_2, y \in M$. Then for any $z \in I_1$, $z = zt + zy \in I_1 \bigcap I_2 + M = M$, and so $I_1 = M$. This gives a contradiction. Thus $I_2 = M$, and then $J \subseteq M \subseteq I_2$. As a result, $J \subseteq I_1 \bigcap I_2$, and so $I_1 \bigcap I_2 = J$. Therefore $I_1 \bigcap I_2$ is a submaximal ideal of R. If $K^2 \subseteq I_1 \bigcap I_2$, then for any $a \in K$, we see that $a^2 \in I_1 \bigcap I_2$. In view of Lemma 4.1, $a \in I_1 \bigcap I_2$. This implies that $K \subseteq I_1 \bigcap I_2$. Hence, $I_1 \bigcap I_2$ is semiprime. Therefore $I_1 \bigcap I_2$ is the intersection of maximal ideals containing $I_1 \bigcap I_2$. Assume that K is a maximal ideal of R such that $I_1 \bigcap I_2 \subseteq K$. If $K \neq I_1, I_2$, then $I_1 + K = I_2 + K = R$. This implies that $I_1 \bigcap I_2 + K = R$, and so $K = R$, a contradiction. Thus, $K = I_1$ or $K = I_2$, and so the proof is complete. $\Box$

A local ring R is called *absolutely local* provided that for any $0 \neq x \in J(R)$, $J(R) = RxR$.

Corollary 4.4 *Let R be strongly nil-$*$-clean, and let I be an ideal of R. Then I is a submaximal ideal if and only if R/I is Boolean with four elements or R/I is absolutely local.*

Proof Let I be a submaximal ideal of R. Suppose that I is contained in two distinct maximal ideals of R. Since I is submaximal, there exists a maximal ideal J of R such that I is covered by J. Thus, we have a maximal ideal J' such that $J' \neq J$ and $I \subsetneqq J'$. Hence, $I \subseteq J \bigcap J' \subseteq J$. Clearly, $J \bigcap J' \neq J$ as $J + J' = R$, and so $I = J \bigcap J'$. In view of Theorem 4.3, there is no maximal ideal containing I except for J and J'. This shows that R/I has only two maximal ideals covering $\{0\}$. For any $a \in R$, it follows from Corollary 2.5 that $a - a^2 \in R$ is nilpotent. Write $(a - a^2)^n = 0$. Then $(a - a^2)^n \in J$. According to Lemma 4.1, $a - a^2 \in J$. Likewise, $a - a^2 \in J'$. Thus, $a - a^2 \in J \bigcap J'$, and so $a - a^2 \in I$. This shows that R/I is Boolean. Therefore R/I is Boolean with four elements. Suppose that I is contained in only one maximal ideal J of R. Then R/I has only one maximal ideal J/I. Clearly, R is an abelian exchange ring, and then so is R/I. Let $\overline{e} \in R/I$ be a nontrivial idempotent. Then $I \subseteq I + ReR \subseteq J$ or $I + ReR = R$. Likewise, $I \subseteq I + R(1 - e)R \subseteq J$ or $I + R(1 - e)R = R$. This shows that $I + ReR = R$ or $I + R(1 - e)R = R$ Thus, $(R/I)\overline{e}(R/I) = R/I$ or $(R/I)\overline{(1 - e)}(R/I) = R/I$, a contradiction. Therefore all idempotents in R/I are trivial. It follows from [3, Lemma 17.2.1] that R/I is local. For any $\overline{0} \neq \overline{x} \in J/I$, we see that $0 \neq I \subseteq RxR \subseteq J$. As I is submaximal, we deduce that $J = RxR$. Therefore R is absolutely local.

Conversely, assume that R/I is Boolean with four elements. Then R/I has precisely two maximal ideals covering $\{0\}$, and so R has precisely two maximal ideals covering I. Thus, we have a maximal ideal J such that $I \subsetneqq J$. If $I \subseteq K \subseteq J$. Then $K = I$ or K is maximal, and so $K = J$. Consequently, I is submaximal. Assume that R/I is absolutely local. Then R/I has a uniquely maximal ideal J/I. Hence, J is a maximal ideal of R such that $I \subsetneqq J$. Assume that $I \subsetneqq K \subseteq J$. Choose $a \in K$ while $a \notin I$. Then $J = RaR \subseteq K$, and so $K = J$. Therefore I is submaximal, as required. □

Corollary 4.5 *Let R be strongly nil-$*$-clean. If I_1 and I_2 are distinct maximal ideals of R, then $R/(I_1 \bigcap I_2)$ is Boolean.*

Proof Since $I_1/(I_1 \bigcap I_2)$ and $I_2/(I_1 \bigcap I_2)$ are distinct maximal ideals, $R/(I_1 \bigcap I_2)$ is not local. In view of Theorem 4.3, $I_1 \bigcap I_2$ is a submaximal ideal of R. Therefore we complete the proof from Corollary 4.4. □

Recall that an ideal I of a commutative ring R is *primary* provided that for any $x, y \in R$, $xy \in I$ implies that $x \in I$ or $y^n \in I$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, every maximal ideal of a commutative ring is primary. We end this article by giving the relation between strongly nil-$*$-clean rings and Boolean rings.

Lemma 4.6 *Let R be a commutative strongly nil-$*$-clean ring. Then the intersection of all primary ideals of R is zero.*

Proof Let a be in the intersection of all primary ideal of R. Assume that $0 \neq a \in R$. Let $\Omega = \{I \mid I \text{ is an ideal of } R \text{ such that } a \notin I\}$. Then $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ as $0 \in \Omega$. Given any ideals $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \cdots$ in Ω, we set $M = \bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$. Then $M \in \Omega$. Thus, Ω is inductive. By using Zorn's Lemma, we can find an ideal Q which is maximal in Ω. It will suffice to show that Q is primary. If not, we can find some $x, y \in R$ such that $xy \in Q$, but $x \notin Q$ and $y^n \notin Q$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This shows that $a \in Q + (x)$, and so $a = b + cx$ for some $b \in Q, c \in R$. Since R is strongly nil-$*$-clean, it follows from Theorem 2.9 that there are some distinct $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $y^k = y^l$. Say $k > l$. Choose $s = l(k-l)$. Then y^s is an idempotent. Write $y = y_B + y_N$. Then $y^s - y_B \in N(R)$. As R is a commutative ring, we see that $(y^s - y_B)^3 = y^s - y_B$. This implies that $y^s = y_B$. Since $xy \in Q$, we show that $xy^s \in Q$, and so $xy_B \in Q$. It follows from $a = b + cx$ that $ay_B = by_B + cxy_B \in Q$. Clearly, $y^s \notin Q$, and so $a \in Q + (y_B)$. Write $a = d + ry_B$ for some $d \in Q, r \in R$. We see that $ay_B = dy_B + ry_B$, and so $ry_B \in Q$. This implies that $a \in Q$, a contradiction. Therefore Q is primary, a contradiction. Consequently, the intersection of all primary ideal of R is zero. □

Theorem 4.7 *Let R be a $*$-ring. Then R is a $*$-Boolean ring if and only if*

(1) *R is commutative;*

(2) *Every primary ideal of R is maximal;*

(3) *R is strongly nil-$*$-clean.*

Proof Suppose that R is a $*$-Boolean ring. Clearly, R is a commutative strongly nil-$*$-clean ring. Let I be a primary ideal of R. If I is not maximal, then there exists a maximal ideal M such that $I \subsetneqq M \subsetneqq R$. Choose $x \in M$ while $x \notin I$. As x is an idempotent, we see that $xR(1-x) \subseteq I$, and so $(1-x)^m \in I \subset M$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $1 - x \in M$. This implies that $1 = x + (1-x) \in M$, a contradiction. Therefore I is maximal, as required.

Conversely, assume that $(1), (2)$ and (3) hold. Clearly, every maximal ideal of R is primary, and so $J(R) = \bigcap\{P \mid P \text{ is primary}\}$. In view of Lemma 4.6, $J(R) = 0$. Therefore R is Boolean by Theorem 2.9. In addition, R has the identity involution, as asserted. □

Corollary 4.8 *A ring R is a Boolean ring if and only if*

(1) *R is commutative;*

(2) *Every primary ideal of R is maximal;*

(3) *R is strongly nil-clean.*

Proof Choose the involution as the identity. Then the result follows from Theorem 4.7. □

References

[1] A. Badawi, On abelian π-regular rings, *Comm. Algebra* **25** (1997) 1009-1021.

[2] S.K. Berberian, *Baer $*$-Rings*, (Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, London, New York, 2011).

[3] H. Chen, *Rings Related Stable Range Conditions*, Series in Algebra 11, (World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ. 2011).

[4] Chen, H. On strongly nil clean matrices. *Comm. Algebra.* to appear.

[5] H. Chen, A. Harmancı and A.Ç. Özcan, Strongly J-$*$-clean rings. preprint, arXiv: 1207.0466v1 [math.RA] 2 Jul 2012.

[6] A.J. Diesl, Classes of Strongly Clean Rings, Ph.D. Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, USA, 2006.

[7] A.L. Foster, The theory of Boolean-like rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **59** (1946) 166-187.

[8] Y. Hirano, H. Tominaga and A. Yaqub, On rings in which every element is uniquely expressable as a sum of a nilpotent element and a certain potent element, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **30** (1988) 33-40.

[9] V. Swaminathan, Submaximal ideals in a Boolean-like rings, *Math. Seminar Notes* **10** (1982) 529-542.

[10] H. Okamoto, J. Grosen and H. Komatsu Some generalizations of Boolean rings. *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **31** (1989) 125-133.

[11] C. Li and Y. Zhou, On strongly $*$-clean rings. *J. Algebra Appl.* **10** (2011) 1363-1370.

[12] L. Vas, $*$-Clean rings; some clean and almost clean Baer $*$-rings and von Neumann algebras, *J. Algebra* **324** (2010) 3388-3400.